

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/596,966		SATO, HIDEO	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	QUANG PHAM		2612	

All Participants:

(1) QUANG PHAM.

(2) TIM NITSCH.

Date of Interview: 7 April 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 9

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed allowable subject matter wherein the authentication device performs the mutual authentication between the management server and the card terminal wherein if the mutual authentication device is confirmed by the authentication device, the card terminal and the authentication device exchange the key information for encrypting and decrypting the biological identification data to allow the system performs the authentication of the users based on the biological information from the users (see Examiner's Amendment for details).